



Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate appears to disrupt the relationship of vitamin D and parathyroid hormone

Peter L Havens, Dustin Long, Gertrud U Schuster, Catherine M Gordon, Georgine Price, Craig M Wilson, Bill G Kapogiannis, Kathleen Mulligan, Charles B Stephensen, the Adolescent Medicine Trials Network for HIV/AIDS Interventions (ATN) 117 and 109 study teams

Antiviral Therapy 2018; 10.3851/IMP3269

Submission date 9th February 2018
Acceptance date 24th September 2018
Publication date 27th September 2018

This provisional PDF matches the article and figures as they appeared upon acceptance. Copyedited and fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

For information about publishing your article in *Antiviral Therapy* go to <http://www.intmedpress.com/index.cfm?pid=12>

Short communication

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate appears to disrupt the relationship of vitamin D and parathyroid hormone

Peter L Havens^{1}, Dustin Long², Gertrud U Schuster³, Catherine M Gordon⁴, Georgine Price⁵, Craig M Wilson⁶, Bill G Kapogiannis⁷, Kathleen Mulligan⁸, Charles B Stephensen⁹, the Adolescent Medicine Trials Network for HIV/AIDS Interventions (ATN) 117 and 109 study teams*

¹Department of Pediatrics, Medical College of Wisconsin/Children's Hospital of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

²Department of Biostatistics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

³Department of Nutrition, University of California-Davis, Davis, CA, USA

⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine/Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA

⁵Westat, Rockville, MD, USA

⁶Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

⁷Maternal and Pediatric Infectious Disease Branch, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁸Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁹USDA, Agricultural Research Service, Western Human Nutrition Research Center, Davis, CA, USA

*Corresponding author e-mail: phavens@mcw.edu

ABSTRACT

Background: Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) increases serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) and 1,25 dihydroxy vitamin D (1,25-(OH)₂D), and decreases bone mineral density (BMD). Optimal treatment of TDF-associated BMD loss requires an understanding of the primary cause of these abnormalities.

Methods: Secondary review of data from two studies of TDF use in youth, comparing the relationship of PTH, 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25-OHD), and 1,25-(OH)₂D in 3 groups with varying exposures to TDF: Youth without HIV enrolled in a trial of TDF/emtricitabine (FTC) for HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) at baseline (no TDF exposure) and after 12 weeks of TDF (short-term TDF exposure); and youth with HIV treated with TDF-containing combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) for at least 6 months at study entry (long-term TDF exposure). Relationships were evaluated by correlation analyses.

Results: Participants ranged in age from 17-24 years and >50% were Black/African American. In persons not treated with TDF, PTH had the

physiologically appropriate negative correlation with 25-OHD ($r = -.3504$, $P = 0.004$). Correlations between PTH and 25-OHD in groups treated with TDF were weaker or absent. With longer-term TDF treatment in persons with HIV, 25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D had the positive correlation similar to that found in vitamin D deficiency.

Conclusions: TDF changes the relationship of 25-OHD to PTH, suggesting that in persons using TDF for PrEP or cART, a higher than usual target for serum 25-OHD concentration might be needed to reduce PTH and optimize bone health.

Accepted 24 September 2018, published online 27 September 2018

Running head: TDF-associated increased parathyroid hormone

INTRODUCTION

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is widely used in HIV treatment as a component of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) and in HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) combined with emtricitabine (FTC). TDF-containing cART [1] and TDF/FTC PrEP both decrease BMD [2–4].

TDF increases serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) [5–7] and 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D (1,25-(OH)₂D) concentrations [5]. It is not clear whether TDF directly affects PTH or 1,25-(OH)₂D or if these effects are due to other alterations in endocrine pathways that maintain calcium and phosphate homeostasis.

We utilized data from two trials involving TDF use in adolescents and young adults with [8] and without [3] HIV to explore the relationships among PTH, 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25-OHD), and 1,25-(OH)₂D, in the presence or absence of TDF.

METHODS

Data Sources

We used data from baseline and week 12 for participants in Adolescent Medicine Trials Network for HIV/AIDS Intervention (ATN) 117 [3], a metabolic sub-study of ATN 113 [2] (ages 15-17 years) and ATN 110 [4] (ages 18-22 years), prospective PrEP demonstration and safety studies in HIV uninfected men who have sex with men at United States ATN sites.

We also used baseline data from participants in ATN 109 [8], a randomized trial of vitamin D supplementation in youth ages 16-24 years with HIV, viral load <200 copies/mL, taking TDF-containing cART for >180 days at study entry.

All studies were approved by each center's institutional review board and required participants' written consent before enrollment.

Participant Groups

These comparisons use three groups, differing by HIV infection status, reason for TDF use, and current TDF use and duration. Group 1 was ATN 117 participants at baseline: HIV uninfected and TDF-naïve [3]; Group 2, ATN 117 participants who had used TDF/FTC PrEP for 12 weeks (short-term TDF exposure), excluding those with red blood cell tenofovir diphosphate concentration below quantitation limits [3]; Group 3, ATN 109, HIV infected, TDF-containing cART >180 days at entry (long-term TDF exposure) [8]. We subdivided each group as vitamin D insufficient/deficient (VDD; 25-OHD<20 ng/mL) or sufficient (VDS, 25-OHD ≥20 ng/mL) (Table 1). Race was categorized as Black/African American (AA) vs other.

Lab Data

Blood samples were collected after ≥8-hour fast, processed at study sites, and sent frozen for batch analysis [3,8].

Statistics

This analysis includes no cross-group comparisons. Spearman correlations and hypothesis testing of 25-OHD, 1,25-(OH)₂D, and PTH were evaluated within each of the three groups, and for the VDD or VDS subgroups of each group.

RESULTS

ATN 117 participants were younger, had lower percent Black/AA, and were male at birth, compared to participants in ATN 109, which included 15.7% females (Table 1). The majority of all participants had VDD (Table 1).

There were no differences in serum calcium or phosphate concentrations across the three groups or vitamin D-defined subgroups. Within each of the three groups, PTH was higher and fibroblast growth factor-23 lower in those with VDD than VDS. (Table 1).

25-OHD and PTH

In group 1, the only group with no TDF exposure, PTH had the physiologically appropriate [9–11] negative correlation with 25-OHD ($r = -.3504$, $P = 0.004$). This relationship was less pronounced in Black/AA ($r = -0.1675$, $P = 0.44$) and stronger in other races ($r = -0.4819$, $P = 0.0005$). In contrast, correlations between PTH and 25-OHD in groups using TDF were weaker or absent (Table 2). For a given concentration of 25-OHD, PTH was higher in those using TDF, particularly among participants with longer-duration TDF exposure (group 3, HIV-infected taking TDF-containing cART [Figure 1])

1,25-(OH)₂D and PTH

Only group 2, using TDF PrEP for 12 weeks, showed a correlation between PTH and 1,25-(OH)₂D, strongest in the VDD subgroup ($r = 0.3590$, $P = 0.0154$; Table 2).

25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D

In group 1, 25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D showed the physiologically expected [12] positive correlation in the VDD subgroup ($r=0.2956$, $P=0.0256$) but not in the VDS subgroup (Table 2). This relationship was not found in group 2. A strong positive correlation was found between 25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D in group 3 overall ($r=0.3189$, $P<0.001$) and in the VDD subgroup (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

25-OHD and PTH

These analyses suggest that TDF disrupts the 25-OHD and PTH relationship, since the physiologically appropriate negative correlation of 25-OHD and PTH [9–11], seen in the group not using TDF, was weaker or absent in groups receiving TDF-containing cART or PrEP.

Insufficient 25-OHD is known to cause low serum calcium due to lower 1,25-(OH)₂D synthesis and associated decreased intestinal calcium absorption [10]. PTH secretion then increases to maintain serum calcium by drawing calcium from bone, which may ultimately lead to a low BMD [10]. As 25-OHD increases, the PTH concentration declines and then plateaus [11], suggesting a 25-OHD concentration above which further 25-OHD increases will not lead to continued PTH decline. The 25-OHD concentration at which PTH plateaus is debated [9] and differs by race [13]. This analysis did not identify a PTH “plateau” even at higher 25-OHD concentrations, although we observed a weaker association between 25-OHD and PTH in Black/AA compared to other races. This analysis showed that for a given 25-OHD concentration, participants using TDF had higher PTH than those not using this medication, suggesting a state of relative physiologic vitamin D insufficiency.

These analyses do not illuminate the mechanism underlying this altered relationship between 25-OHD and PTH. While PTH increases in response to small changes in serum calcium [10], detecting such a change would be unlikely, given the tight regulation of circulating calcium, which was not different across groups. While other studies have shown that TDF raises PTH [6,7,14], the TDF-associated PTH increase does not appear to be mediated by increased urinary calcium excretion [5,6]. While hyperphosphatemia increases PTH [10], this would not pertain here, since TDF causes renal phosphate loss [5]. Increased vitamin D binding protein, found at TDF-containing cART initiation [7,14], and with higher plasma tenofovir concentrations [5], may decrease free 25-OHD and lead to “functional” vitamin D deficiency.

Our findings differ from those of a previous study examining the relationship of TDF with 25-OHD and PTH in participants with mean (SD) age 47 (9.8) years, who were 94% White [15]. That study found no difference in the 25-OHD and PTH relationship in persons treated with TDF-containing cART versus cART without TDF. Our study included over 50% Black/AAs, who in general have lower 25-OHD concentrations, and higher PTH and BMD for a given 25-OHD concentration [13], and also included

younger participants, who generally have higher 25-OHD concentrations and lower PTH for a given 25-OHD concentration [16]. It is possible that differences in the racial and age-related composition of the cohorts explain the disparate findings.

1,25-(OH)₂D and PTH

This analysis suggests that TDF primarily causes increased PTH, since those treated with TDF had a stronger positive correlation between PTH and 1,25-(OH)₂D, suggesting a normal 1,25-(OH)₂D counter-regulatory response to increased PTH [10]. If TDF primarily increased 1,25-(OH)₂D, that could cause a low PTH [10], manifesting as a negative correlation. The lack of a positive correlation between PTH and 1,25-(OH)₂D in group 3 suggests a return to the baseline relationship of PTH and 1.25-OHD with chronic TDF exposure, but at higher PTH concentrations.

25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D

The expected relationship between 25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D is a positive correlation in persons with VDD, and a weak or absent correlation when 25-OHD reaches adequate concentrations [12]. This pattern was seen in Group 1, where there was a positive correlation in those with VDD, but not VDS. However, the correlation was absent with short-term TDF exposure (group 2). The positive correlation between 25-OHD and 1,25-(OH)₂D (physiologically appropriate for vitamin D deficiency) was again seen in participants with longer-term TDF treatment (group 3) suggesting that longer-term TDF treatment causes ongoing stress to calcium and phosphate homeostasis, similar to vitamin D deficiency [12].

While we avoided cross-study comparisons, the results of this secondary analysis of two separate studies should be interpreted with caution. These studies were performed in youth with normal renal function, and findings may be different in older persons receiving TDF-containing regimens, or in persons using TDF for longer periods.

IMPLICATIONS FOR TREATMENT

These data suggest that a higher 25-OHD concentration may be needed to control the high PTH concentration associated with TDF treatment, supporting the 25-OHD target of >30 ng/mL recommended by the Endocrine Society for persons whose clinical profile includes a threat to bone health [17]. This slightly higher 25-OHD threshold is in contrast to a minimum serum 25-OHD target of 20 ng/mL recommended by the Institute of Medicine for maintenance of bone health in healthy populations [18]. In youth with HIV treated with TDF-containing cART, this target was reached within 12 weeks using vitamin D3 50,000 International Units (IU) monthly [19], resulting in a PTH decrease [19] and lumbar spine BMD increase [8], in persons both with and without a baseline 25-OHD <20 ng/mL. Similar improvements have been seen in youth with HIV treated with TDF-containing cART using vitamin D3 2,000 or 4,000 IU daily [20].

In summary, these findings suggest that TDF changes the relationship of 25-OHD to PTH, resulting in a physiologic state similar to 25-OHD insufficiency. A higher than usual target for 25-OHD serum concentration may be needed to reduce PTH and PTH-associated bone resorption, to optimize bone health in persons treated with TDF- containing cART or TDF/FTC PrEP.

Funding for ATN 117 and ATN 109

This work was supported by The Adolescent Medicine Network for HIV/AIDS Interventions (ATN) from the National Institutes of Health [U01 HD 040533 and U01 HD 040474] through the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (B. Kapogiannis), with supplemental funding from the National Institutes on Drug Abuse (S. Kahana) and Mental Health (P. Brouwers, S. Allison). The study was scientifically reviewed by the ATN's Therapeutic Leadership Group. Network, scientific and logistical support was provided by the ATN Coordinating Center (C. Wilson, C. Partlow) at The University of Alabama at Birmingham. Network operations and analytic support was provided by the ATN Data and Operations Center at Westat, Inc. (B. Harris, B. Driver). The comments and views of the authors do not necessarily represent the views of the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

For ATN 117 study medication and partial funding were supplied by Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA.

Acknowledgements for ATN 117

We appreciate the input of ATN Study Team members Rohan Hazra, Patricia M. Flynn, Cynthia G. Pan, Marta D. Van Loan, Leslie R. Woodhouse, Brandy Rutledge, Nancy Liu, Sybil Hosek, Peter L. Anderson, and Sharon M. Seifert. We acknowledge the contributions of the ATN study coordinators who participated in this study from the outset, especially Thuy Anderson and Leslie Kozina, both key members of the protocol team. We thank the following staff at the USDA, ARS, Western Human Nutrition Research Center: Tammy Freytag, Joseph Domek, Erik Gertz, Mark Cedeno, Xiaowen Jiang, and Teresa Pedersen. We thank George Siberry of NICHD for his careful input in study design and performance.

We acknowledge the contribution of the investigators and staff at the following sites that participated in this study: University of South Florida, Tampa (Emmanuel, Straub, Enriquez-Bruce), Children's Hospital of Los Angeles (Belzer, Tucker), Children's National Medical Center (D'Angelo, Trexler), Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Douglas, Tanney), John H. Stroger Jr. Hospital of Cook County and the Ruth M. Rothstein CORE Center (Martinez, Henry-Reid, Bojan), Montefiore Medical Center (Futterman, Campos), Tulane University Health Sciences Center (Abdalian, Kozina), University of Miami School of Medicine (Friedman, Maturo), St. Jude's Children's Research Hospital (Flynn, Guar, Dillard), Baylor College of Medicine, Texas Children's Hospital (Paul, Head); Wayne State University (Secord, Outlaw, Cromer); Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine (Agwu, Sanders, Anderson); The Fenway Institute (Mayer, Dormitzer); and University of Colorado (Reirden, Chambers). The investigators are grateful to the members of the local youth Community Advisory Boards for their insight and counsel and are indebted to the youth who participated in this study.

Acknowledgements for ATN 109

We acknowledge the contributions of the ATN study coordinators who participated in this study, and Kavya Vellala, a Westat Protocol Specialist, for her activities in protocol development and implementation. We thank the following staff at the USDA, ARS, Western Human Nutrition Research Center: Tammy Freytag, Joseph Domek and Erik Gertz. We thank George Siberry of NICHD for his careful input in study design and performance.

We acknowledge the contribution of the investigators and staff at the following sites that participated in this study: University of South Florida, Tampa (Patricia Emmanuel, Diane Straub, Elizabeth Enriquez-Bruce), Children's Hospital of Los Angeles (Marvin Belzer, Diane Tucker), Children's National Medical Center (Larry D'Angelo, Connie Trexler), Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Steve Douglas, Mary

Tanney), John H. Stroger Jr. Hospital of Cook County and the Ruth M. Rothstein CORE Center (Miguel Martinez, Lisa Henry-Reid, Kelly Bojan), Montefiore Medical Center (Donna Futterman, Maria Campos), Tulane University Health Sciences Center (Sue Ellen Abdalian, Leslie Kozina), University of Miami School of Medicine (Larry Friedman, Donna Maturo), St. Jude's Children's Research Hospital (Pat Flynn, Aditya Guar, Mary Dillard), Baylor College of Medicine, Texas Children's Hospital (Mary Paul, Jane Head); Wayne State University (Liz Secord, Angulique Outlaw, Charnell Cromer); Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine (Allison Agwu, Renata Sanders, Thuy Anderson); The Fenway Institute (Ken Mayer, Julian Dormitzer); and University of Colorado (Dan Reirden, Carrie Chambers); University of Southern California (Andrea Kovacs, Eva Operskalski, James Homans, Allison Bearden, Susie Sanchez); Children's Diagnostic & Treatment Center, Inc (Ana Puga, Zulma Eysallenne); San Juan City Hospital PR (Midnela Acevedo, Nicolas Rosario, Lourdes Angeli Nieves); Jacobi Medical Center Bronx (Andrew Wiznia, Jacobo Abadi, Michael Rosenberg, Joanna Dobroszycki, Marlene Burey).

The investigators are grateful to the members of the local youth Community Advisory Boards for their insight and counsel and are indebted to the youth who participated in this study.

Clinical Trials Registration: NCT01751646 (ATN 109) and NCT01769469 (ATN 117)

Presented in part at the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Seattle, WA, February 16, 2017 (ATN 109)

Disclosures

No author has a conflict of interest.

References

1. McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES, *et al.* Bone Mineral Density and Fractures in Antiretroviral-Naive Persons Randomized to Receive Abacavir-Lamivudine or Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate-Emtricitabine Along With Efavirenz or Atazanavir-Ritonavir: AIDS Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a Substudy of ACTG A5202. *J Infect Dis* 2011; **203**:1791–1801.
2. Hosek SG, Landovitz RJ, Kapogiannis B, *et al.* Safety and Feasibility of Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis for Adolescent Men Who Have Sex With Men Aged 15 to 17 Years in the United States. *JAMA Pediatr* 2017; **05**:E1–E5.
3. Havens PL, Stephensen CB, Van Loan MD, *et al.* Decline in Bone Mass With Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine Is Associated With Hormonal Changes in the Absence of Renal Impairment When Used by HIV-Uninfected Adolescent Boys and Young Men for HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; **64**:317–325.
4. Hosek SG, Rudy B, Landovitz R, *et al.* An HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis Demonstration Project and Safety Study for Young MSM. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017; **74**:21–29.
5. Havens PL, Kiser JJ, Stephensen CB, *et al.* Association of higher plasma vitamin D binding protein and lower free calcitriol levels with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use and plasma and intracellular tenofovir pharmacokinetics: cause of a functional vitamin D deficiency? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; **57**:5619–5628.
6. Masiá M, Padilla S, Robledano C, López N, Ramos JM, Gutierrez F. Short Communication: Early changes in parathyroid hormone concentrations in patients initiating antiretroviral therapy with tenofovir. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2012; **28**:242–246.
7. Hsieh E, Fraenkel L, Han Y, *et al.* Longitudinal Increase in Vitamin D Binding Protein Levels after Initiation of Tenofovir/Lamivudine/Efavirenz among Individuals with HIV. AIDS (London, England) 2016.
8. Havens PL, Stephensen CB, Van Loan MD, *et al.* Vitamin D3 Supplementation Increases Spine Bone Mineral Density in Adolescents and Young Adults with HIV Infection Being Treated with Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017.
9. Holick MF. The D-batable parathyroid hormone plateau. *Am J Med* 2011; **124**:1095–1096.

10. Khundmiri SJ, Murray RD, Lederer E. PTH and Vitamin D. *Compr Physiol* 2016; **6**:561–601.
11. Saliba W, Barnett O, Rennert HS, Lavi I, Rennert G. The relationship between serum 25(OH)D and parathyroid hormone levels. *Am J Med* 2011; **124**:1165–1170.
12. Lips P. Relative value of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)2D measurements. *J Bone Miner Res* 2007; **22**:1668–1671.
13. Gutierrez OM, Farwell WR, Kermah D, Taylor EN. Racial differences in the relationship between vitamin D, bone mineral density, and parathyroid hormone in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Osteoporos Int* 2011; **22**:1745–1753.
14. Yin MT, Chan ES, Brown TT, *et al.* Racial differences in calculated bioavailable vitamin D with vitamin D/calcium supplementation. *AIDS* 2017; **31**:2337–2344.
15. Samarawickrama A, Jose S, Sabin C, Walker-Bone K, Fisher M, Gilleece Y. No association between vitamin D deficiency and parathyroid hormone, bone density and bone turnover in a large cohort of HIV-infected men on tenofovir. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2014; **17 Suppl 3**:19568.
16. Arabi A, Baddoura R, El-Rassi R, El-Hajj Fuleihan G. Age but not gender modulates the relationship between PTH and vitamin D. *Bone* 2010; **47**:408–412.
17. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, *et al.* Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. [Erratum appears in *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2011 Dec;96(12):3908]. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; **96**:1911–1930.
18. Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2011.
19. Havens PL, Stephensen CB, Hazra R, *et al.* Vitamin D3 decreases parathyroid hormone in HIV-infected youth being treated with tenofovir: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; **54**:1013–1025.
20. Eckard AR, O’Riordan MA, Rosebush JC, *et al.* Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation on Bone Mineral Density and Bone Markers in HIV-infected Youth. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017; **11**:11.

Figure 1 Relationship of Parathyroid Hormone and 25- hydroxy Vitamin D in the Presence and Absence of Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate

Circles/solid line = group 1, HIV-uninfected, no TDF; Triangles/dashed line = group 2, HIV-uninfected, short-term TDF exposure; Plus sign/dotted line = group 3, HIV-infected, longer-term TDF exposure. The group 1 relationship is described by the equation $PTH_{\text{predicted}} = 36.24 - 0.41 * 25\text{-OHD}$. Only group 1 shows statistically significant relationship between PTH and 25-OHD. Between group 1 and group 2 the slopes of the lines are different, and between group 1 and group 3 the intercepts of the lines are different

Table 1 Cohorts studied.

Variable	Group 1			Group 2			Group 3		
	117 BL	117 BL	117 BL	117 wk12	117 wk12	117 wk12	109 BL	109 BL	109 BL
N	99	57	42	77	45	32	209	130	79
HIV status	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	neg	pos	pos	pos
ARVs	none	none	none	TDF PrEP	TDF PrEP	TDF PrEP	TDF cART	TDF cART	TDF cART
TDF duration ^a	-	-	-	12 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	20.8 (10.0, 28.7) months	20.6 (9.9, 28.2) months	21.1 (10.1, 28.7) months
Vitamin D category	all	25-OHD <20	25-OHD ≥=20	all	25-OHD <20	25-OHD ≥=20	all	25-OHD <20	25-OHD ≥=20
Age	20 (18, 21)	20 (19, 21)	19 (17, 21)	20 (19, 21)	20 (19, 21)	19 (17.5, 21)	22 (21, 23)	22 (20, 23)	22 (21, 24)
Sex (%F)	0	0	0	0	0	0	15.7%	16.8%	13.9%
Race (% Black/AA)	52.5%	70.2%	28.6%	53.2%	68.9%	31.3%	73.3%	78.6%	64.6%
BMI	22.8 (20.7, 26.5)	23.8 (21.7, 29.1)	22.4 (20.7, 25.1)	23.7 (21.6, 27.6)	25.3 (21.9, 29.7)	22.5 (20.9, 25.3)	23.5 (21.2, 28.8)	23.7 (21.3, 29.8)	23.3 (21.4, 27.4)
Serum Calcium	9.8 (9.6, 9.9)	9.8 (9.6, 9.9)	9.8 (9.6, 10.0)	9.8 (9.5, 9.9)	9.8 (6.5, 10.1)	9.8 (9.6, 9.9)	9.4 (9.2, 9.6)	9.3 (9.1, 9.6)	9.4 (9.3, 9.7)
Serum Phosphate	3.6 (3.3, 4.0)	3.6 (3.4, 3.9)	3.6 (3.2, 4.0)	3.5 (3.2, 3.8)	3.6 (3.2, 3.8)	3.4 (3.2, 3.8)	3.5 (3.2, 3.9)	3.5 (3.2, 3.9)	3.5 (3.2, 3.9)
25-OHD	18.4 (12.0, 23.7)	13.1 (9.3, 16.7)	24.2 (22.5, 26.3)	21.0 (16.3, 28.2)	17.7 (12.3, 22.0)	27.9 (20.8, 31.5)	16.4 (11.4, 23.9)	13.3 (9.5, 15.4)	26.6 (22.5, 31.4)
1,25-(OH) ₂ D	67.2 (49.3, 85.2)	69.1 (48.7, 84.0)	66.4 (52.0, 88.8)	77.9 (56.2, 99.5)	76.3 (57.6, 98.1)	83.0 (53.8, 101.2)	71.1 (57.9, 93.0)	68.6 (54.7, 87.5)	80.8 (61.3, 109.6)
PTH ^b	26.9 (20.5, 34.8)	29.6 (24.3, 40.2)	25.0 (19.2, 28.4)	29.2 (25.1, 35.7)	30.5 (25.9, 42.5)	26.9 (22.4, 31.7)	37.5 (28.8, 47.4)	39.1 (30.2, 48.4)	35.8 (27.3, 45.7)
FGF23 ^c	38.7 (32.7, 44.5)	36.6 (32.4, 44.5)	40.5 (36.9, 45.6)	35.1 (30.6, 44.3)	34.9 (28.8, 42.5)	36.8 (32.8, 45.0)	33.8 (26.7, 42.6)	32.7 (25.4, 41.8)	35.1 (30.1, 43.1)

Abbreviations: BL = baseline; wk = week; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; BMI = body mass index; 25-OHD = 25 hydroxy vitamin D; 1,25-(OH)₂D = 1,25 dihydroxy vitamin D. PTH = parathyroid hormone; FGF23 = fibroblast growth hormone 23;

a. Continuous data are presented as median (Q1, Q3);

b. P values for difference in PTH between VDS and VDD: Group1, p=0.002; Group 2, p=0.051; Group 3 p=0.057.

c. P values for difference in FGF23 between VDS and VDD: Group 1, p=0.09; Group 2, p=0.38; 109: Group 3, p=0.015)

Table 2. Correlations by Group and Vitamin D status

Variable	Group 1			Group 2			Group 3		
	117 BL	117 BL	117 BL	117 wk12	117 wk12	117 wk12	109 BL	109 BL	109 BL
Study and Week									
Vitamin D category	all	VDD	VDS	all	VDD	VDS	all	VDD	VDS
25-OHD x PTH	-0.3504 0.0004	-0.0650 -	-0.3863 0.0115	-0.1232 -	0.0791 -	-0.2049 -	-0.1545 0.0258	-0.0791 -	-0.0665 -
1,25-(OH) ₂ D x PTH	0.1133 -	0.2337 -	0.0904 -	0.2410 0.0350	0.3590 0.0154	0.0700 -	-0.0603 -	-0.0332 -	-0.0227 -
25-OHD x 1,25-(OH) ₂ D	0.1886 -	0.2956 0.0256	0.0109 -	0.0407 -	-0.0564 -	0.1796 -	0.3189 <0.0001	0.3078 0.0004	-0.0001 -

Abbreviations: BL = baseline; wk = week; 25-OHD = 25 hydroxy vitamin D; 1,25-(OH)₂D = 1,25 dihydroxy vitamin D. PTH = parathyroid hormone; VDD = vitamin D deficient, 25-OHD <20 ng/mL; VDS = vitamin D sufficient, 25-OHD ≥20 ng/mL

Cells with statistically significant correlations by Spearman show r and p value. Cells with “-“ had P value > 0.05

