



## Original Investigation | Public Health

## Maternal Urinary Fluoride and Child Neurobehavior at Age 36 Months

Ashley J. Malin, PhD; Sandrah P. Eckel, PhD; Howard Hu, MD, MPH, ScD; E. Angeles Martinez-Mier, PhD, DDS, MSD; Ixel Hernandez-Castro, PhD; Tingyu Yang, MS; Shohreh F. Farzan, PhD; Rima Habre, ScD; Carrie V. Breton, ScD; Theresa M. Bastain, PhD

## Abstract

**IMPORTANCE** Recent studies in Canadian and Mexican populations suggest an association of higher prenatal fluoride exposure with poorer neurobehavioral development, but whether this association holds for US-based populations is unknown.

**OBJECTIVE** To examine associations of third trimester maternal urinary fluoride (MUF) with child neurobehavior at age 3 years in the US.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** This prospective cohort study utilized urine samples archived from 2017 to 2020 and neurobehavioral data assessed from 2020 to 2023 from the Maternal and Developmental Risks from Environmental and Social Stressors (MADRES) pregnancy cohort, which consisted of predominately Hispanic women residing in Los Angeles, California. Cohort eligibility criteria at recruitment included being 18 years of age or older, less than 30 weeks' gestation, and a fluent English or Spanish speaker. Exclusion criteria included having a disability preventing participation or provision of informed consent, being HIV positive or incarcerated, and having a multiple gestation pregnancy. There were 263 mother-child pairs who completed the 3-year study visit. In this analysis, women who reported prenatal smoking were excluded. Data analysis was conducted from October 2022 to March 2024.

**EXPOSURE** Specific gravity-adjusted MUF ( $MUF_{SG}$ ), a biomarker of prenatal fluoride exposure.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** Neurobehavior was quantified using the Preschool Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), which included composite scores for Total Problems, Internalizing Problems, and Externalizing Problems. CBCL composite T scores range from 28 to 100. T scores from 60 to 63 are in the borderline clinical range, whereas scores above 63 are in the clinical range. Linear and logistic regression models adjusted for covariates were conducted.

**RESULTS** A total of 229 mother-child pairs (mean [SD] maternal age, 29.45 [5.67] years; 116 female children [50.7%] and 113 male children [49.3%]) who had  $MUF_{SG}$  measured were included in the study. Median (IQR)  $MUF_{SG}$  was 0.76 (0.51-1.19) mg/L, and 32 participants (14.0%) had a Total Problems T score in the borderline clinical or clinical range. A 1-IQR (0.68 mg/L) increase in  $MUF_{SG}$  was associated with nearly double the odds of the Total Problems T score being in the borderline clinical or clinical range (odds ratio, 1.83; 95% CI, 1.17-2.86;  $P = .008$ ), as well as with a 2.29-point increase in T score for the Internalizing Problems composite ( $B = 2.29$ ; 95% CI, 0.47-4.11;  $P = .01$ ) and a 2.14-point increase in T score for the Total Problems composite ( $B = 2.14$ ; 95% CI, 0.29-3.98;  $P = .02$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** In this prospective cohort study of mother-child pairs in Los Angeles, California, prenatal fluoride exposure was associated with increased neurobehavioral problems. These findings suggest that there may be a need to establish recommendations for

(continued)

## Key Points

**Question** Is prenatal fluoride exposure associated with child neurobehavior in a US-based sample?

**Findings** In this cohort study of 229 pregnant women and their children, a 0.68 mg/L (ie, 1 IQR) increase in specific gravity-adjusted maternal urinary fluoride during pregnancy was associated with nearly double the odds of T scores for total child neurobehavioral problems being in the borderline clinical or clinical range.

**Meaning** These findings suggest that prenatal fluoride exposure may increase risk of neurobehavioral problems among children living in an optimally fluoridated area in the US.

## + Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

**Open Access.** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Abstract (continued)

limiting fluoride exposure during the prenatal period.

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(5):e2411987.

Corrected on June 28, 2024. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.11987

## Introduction

Fluoride levels in community drinking water systems in the US have been adjusted to prevent dental caries since 1945.<sup>1</sup> Currently, 73% of the US receives fluoridated water at a targeted concentration of 0.7 mg/L (to convert to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.05263). This has been considered optimal for preventing dental caries while minimizing risk of adverse systemic health effects.<sup>2</sup> Most of Los Angeles County, California is at least partially fluoridated.<sup>3,4</sup> Fluoride can also naturally occur in soil and rock or be released into the environment via industrial processes.<sup>5,6</sup>

It is widely established that exposure to high fluoride levels can adversely affect neurodevelopment<sup>7</sup>; however, findings from recent studies conducted in Mexico and Canada<sup>8-11</sup> suggest that fluoride exposure at lower US-relevant levels may also be associated with poorer neurodevelopment. Specifically, higher prenatal fluoride exposure in Canada and/or Mexico has been associated with lower IQ among children aged 3 to 4 years in Canada<sup>10</sup> and children aged 6 to 12 years in Mexico,<sup>9</sup> increased symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) among children aged 6 to 12 years,<sup>12</sup> poorer executive function among children aged 3 to 5 years,<sup>13</sup> and poorer performance on measures of global cognition among 12- and 24-month-old boys.<sup>14</sup> A recent systematic review conducted by the National Toxicology Program reported “with moderate confidence that higher fluoride exposure...is consistently associated with lower IQ in children.”<sup>15</sup> The report<sup>15</sup> also highlighted the lack of US studies investigating associations of fluoride exposure with neurodevelopment or cognition and stated that US studies would be valuable. To our knowledge, we conducted the first, US-based study to examine associations of prenatal fluoride exposure with child neurobehavioral outcomes.

## Methods

### Study Design and Participants

This cohort study was approved by the institutional review boards at The University of Southern California and The University of Florida and followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline. This study included mother-child pairs from the Maternal and Developmental Risks from Environmental and Social Stressors (MADRES) cohort.<sup>16</sup> MADRES is a prospective pregnancy cohort consisting of 1065 predominately Hispanic women of low socioeconomic status residing in urban Los Angeles, California.<sup>16</sup> Briefly, in 2015, pregnant women were recruited from prenatal care clinicians in Los Angeles serving predominantly medically underserved communities and provided written informed consent. Eligibility criteria include being 18 years of age or older, less than 30 weeks' gestation at the time of recruitment, and being able to speak English or Spanish fluently. Exclusion criteria included having a multiple gestation pregnancy; being HIV positive; having a physical, mental, or cognitive disability that would prevent participation or provision of informed consent; and current incarceration.<sup>16</sup> The current study included mother-child pairs from the MADRES prospective cohort who had maternal urinary fluoride (MUF) measured during the third trimester of pregnancy and child scores on the Preschool Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for ages 1.5 to 5 years at age 36 months (eFigure 1 in Supplement 1).

## Exposure

### Urinary Fluoride

Single spot urine samples were collected from MADRES participants during the third trimester of pregnancy (from 2017-2020). The mean (range) gestational age at third trimester urine collection was 31.6 (26.9-36.0) weeks. MUF was measured at the Oral Health Research Institute at the Indiana University School of Dentistry using the Martinez Mier et al modification<sup>17,18</sup> of the hexamethyldisiloxane microdiffusion method of Taves et al<sup>19</sup> (see the eMethods in [Supplement 1](#) for additional details). MUF measurements were adjusted for specific gravity (MUF<sub>SG</sub>). Urinary fluoride was utilized because it provides a reliable measure of total fluoride intake. It is also the most widely employed measure of individual fluoride exposure in epidemiological studies, including those assessing neurodevelopment.<sup>10-12,20,21</sup>

## Outcomes

We examined child neurobehavioral problems. These included internalizing and externalizing symptoms and symptoms consistent with *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fifth Edition [*DSM-5*]) diagnostic categories.

### Preschool CBCL for Ages 1.5 to 5 Years

Child neurobehavioral outcomes were assessed from 2020 to 2023 via the Preschool CBCL, a valid measure of neurobehavior.<sup>22-24</sup> The Preschool CBCL is a parent-reported measure of 99 items that was administered in MADRES when the child was approximately 36 months old. Children were rated on the CBCL by their mothers. The CBCL is available in English and Spanish. CBCL scores comprise 7 syndrome scales (Emotionally Reactive, Anxious-Depressed, Somatic Complaints, Withdrawn, Sleep Problems, Attention Problems, and Aggressive Behavior) characterizing problems that tend to co-occur together. The CBCL also includes 5 *DSM-5*-oriented scales that are comprised of items determined to be consistent with *DSM-5* diagnostic categories (Depressive Problems, Anxiety Problems, Oppositional Defiant Problems, Autism Spectrum Problems, and ADHD Problems). Scores on CBCL syndrome scales are grouped to produce an Internalizing Problems composite score and Externalizing Problems composite score. Scales that focus primarily on issues within the self comprise the Internalizing Problems composite. Conversely, scales that focus on other-directed problems and expectations for the child comprise the Externalizing Problems composite. Lastly, a Total Problems composite score is calculated by summing scores on all 99 items.<sup>24</sup> Internalizing Problems, Externalizing Problems, and Total Problems composite T scores range from 28 to 100. T scores ranging from 60 to 63 are in the borderline clinical range, whereas those above 63 are in the clinical range.<sup>24</sup> We calculated 2-category clinical index variables of normal vs borderline clinical or clinical for statistical analyses for each composite variable (see the eMethods in [Supplement 1](#) for additional details about the CBCL scales).

## Covariates

Covariates were selected using a directed acyclic graph (eFigure 2 in [Supplement 1](#)), and included maternal age (continuous), education (less than 12th grade, completed 12th grade, some college or technical school, completed college, and some graduate training), ethnicity by nativity (non-Hispanic, US-born Hispanic, or non-US-born Hispanic), marital status (decline to answer, married, living together, never married and single, divorced or separated, or widowed), prepregnancy body mass index (continuous; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) and prenatal household income (unknown, <\$15 000, \$15 000-\$29 999, \$30 000-\$49 999, \$50 000-\$99 999, and ≥\$100 000), as well as child sex. Categories for ethnicity by nativity were defined by study principal investigators, and ethnicity was included because it has been shown to be associated with fluoride exposure and neurodevelopment. We adjusted for ethnicity as a proxy for structural racism rather than as a biological difference. We recoded marital status based on cohabitation status (eMethods in [Supplement 1](#)).

## Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for MUF<sub>SG</sub>, sociodemographic variables, and scores on the CBCL. We conducted linear regression adjusted for covariates to examine associations of third trimester MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL composite T scores as well as raw scores on CBCL syndrome scales and *DSM-5*-oriented scales. Assumptions of linear regression were satisfied for models examining associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL composite T scores; however, for several models examining associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL syndrome scales and *DSM-5*-oriented scales, linear regression assumptions were not satisfied. Therefore, a natural logarithm transformation was applied and a constant of 1 was added (to account for scores of 0) to the raw scores for these scales to satisfy linear regression assumptions (see the eMethods in [Supplement 1](#) for an expanded statistical analysis plan). We also tested whether child sex modified associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL scores by including a MUF<sub>SG</sub> × sex term in regression models to be retained if statistically significant. We conducted logistic regression examining associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with binary clinical index variables. Additionally, in sensitivity analyses, we conducted Poisson regression with robust error variances to determine the relative risk of scoring in the normal compared with borderline clinical or clinical range for clinical index variables. We also conducted binary logistic regression that included 2-category clinical index dependent variables of nonclinical (ie, normal or borderline) vs clinical for each clinical index variable. We conducted several additional sensitivity analyses that are reported in the eMethods in [Supplement 1](#). We excluded women who reported prenatal smoking (6 participants). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software version 28 (IBM) and STATA/MP version 13.0 (Stata Corp). The criterion for statistical significance was an  $\alpha < .05$ . Data analysis occurred from October 2022 to March 2024.

## Results

There were 229 mother-child pairs (mean [SD] maternal age, 29.45 [5.67] years; 116 female children [50.7%] and 113 male children [49.3%]) included in this study. See **Table 1** for sociodemographic characteristics and exposure variables. For a comparison of sociodemographic characteristics between the current study sample and overall MADRES cohort with a live birth, see eTable 1 in [Supplement 1](#). Most participants (192 participants) reported fasting in the third trimester for at least 8 hours. MUF<sub>SG</sub> did not differ between women who reported fasting and those who did not. Median (IQR) MUF<sub>SG</sub> was 0.76 (0.51-1.19) mg/L. Mean (SD) T scores were 47.69 (11.60) for the Total Problems composite, 47.13 (11.62) for the Internalizing Problems composite, and 46.48 (10.68) for the Externalizing Problems composite (**Figure**). Of all participants, 32 (14.0%) had a Total Problems T score in the borderline clinical or clinical range, 35 (15.3%) had an Internalizing Problems T score in the borderline clinical or clinical range, and 23 (10.0%) had an Externalizing Problems T score in the borderline clinical or clinical range. Descriptive statistics for CBCL syndrome and *DSM*-oriented scale raw scores are presented in eFigure 3, eFigure 4, and eTable 2 in [Supplement 1](#).

Associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL composite T scores and binary clinical index variables are presented in **Table 2**. A 1-IQR (0.68 mg/L) increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with nearly double the odds of having a Total Problems T score in the borderline clinical or clinical range compared with the normal range (odds ratio [OR], 1.83; 95% CI, 1.17-2.86;  $P = .008$ ). Additionally, a 1-IQR increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with a 2.29-point increase in Internalizing Problems T scores ( $B = 2.29$ ; 95% CI, 0.47-4.11;  $P = .01$ ) and 2.14-point increase in Total Problems T scores ( $B = 2.14$ ; 95% CI, 0.29-3.98;  $P = .02$ ). Associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with Externalizing Problems T scores or odds of having an Internalizing Problems T score in the borderline clinical or clinical range compared with the normal range were also positive but not statistically significant (Table 2). Risk ratios were generally consistent with these ORs; however, magnitudes were smaller, and the  $P$  value for the risk ratio for the Internalizing Problems binary clinical index variable was statistically significant (eTable 3 in [Supplement 1](#)). Sensitivity analyses that included nonclinical vs clinical index dependent variables were also consistent (eTable 4 in [Supplement 1](#)).

Associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with raw scores for CBCL syndrome scales and *DSM-5*-oriented scales are presented in **Table 3**. A 1-IQR increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with a 13.54% increase in raw scores for the Emotionally Reactive CBCL syndrome scale (*B* = 0.13; 95% CI, 0.02-0.24; *P* = .02), and a 19.60% increase in raw scores for the Somatic Complaints CBCL syndrome scale (*B* = 0.18; 95% CI, 0.07-0.28; *P* = .001). Additionally, a 1-IQR increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with an 11.29% increase in scores on the *DSM-5*-oriented Anxiety Problems scale of the CBCL (*B* = 0.11; 95% CI, 0.003-0.21; *P* = .045) and an 18.53% increase in scores on the *DSM-5*-oriented Autism Spectrum Problems scale of the CBCL (*B* = 0.17; 95% CI, 0.04-0.30; *P* = .009). There were no other associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with other syndrome scales or *DSM-5*-oriented scales. There was no interaction between fluoride and sex.

MUF<sub>SG</sub> during the first trimester was also positively associated with CBCL scores (eTable 5 and eTable 6 in [Supplement 1](#)) and when first trimester blood lead level was included as a covariate in sensitivity analyses, the magnitudes of associations became larger and previously nonsignificant

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Demographic and Exposure Variables

Participant characteristic	Participants, No. (%) (N = 229)	Third trimester MUF <sub>SG</sub> , median (IQR), mg/L
Maternal age at consent, mean (SD), y	29.45 (5.67)	NA
Child age at Preschool Child Behavior Checklist assessment, mean (range), mo	36.02 (35.12-37.62)	NA
Child sex		
Female	116 (50.7)	0.76 (0.51-1.22)
Male	113 (49.3)	0.76 (0.51-1.16)
Prepregnancy body mass index <sup>a</sup>		
Underweight (<18.5)	5 (2.2)	0.71 (0.45-1.83)
Normal (18.5-24.9)	60 (26.2)	0.78 (0.48-1.32)
Overweight (25.0-29.9)	80 (34.9)	0.78 (0.55-1.25)
Obese (≥30.0)	84 (36.7)	0.74 (0.50-1.08)
Household income, \$		
Unknown	64 (27.9)	0.74 (0.43-1.17)
<15 000	41 (17.9)	0.78 (0.51-1.12)
15 000-29 000	55 (24.0)	0.68 (0.43-0.98)
30 000-49 999	35 (15.3)	0.81 (0.52-1.24)
50 000-99 999	15 (6.6)	0.72 (0.52-0.93)
≥100 000	19 (8.3)	1.46 (0.81-1.96)
Maternal education		
<High school	54 (23.6)	0.73 (0.49-1.20)
High school	65 (28.4)	0.76 (0.52-1.08)
Some college or technical school	61 (26.6)	0.73 (0.46-0.93)
4-y of College	31 (13.5)	0.86 (0.61-1.37)
Some graduate training	18 (7.9)	1.31 (0.68-1.88)
Maternal ethnicity by nativity <sup>b</sup>		
Non-Hispanic	44 (19.8)	1.04 (0.72-1.80)
Non-US-born Hispanic	96 (43.2)	0.76 (0.51-1.23)
US-born Hispanic	82 (36.9)	0.69 (0.43-0.99)
Habitation status		
Cohabitating	168 (83.4)	0.76 (0.51-1.25)
Not cohabitating	48 (21.0)	0.77 (0.47-1.09)
Missing or declined to answer	13 (5.7)	0.79 (0.52-1.08)
MUF <sub>SG</sub> , median (IQR), mg/dL		
First trimester <sup>c</sup>	0.64 (0.43-0.98)	NA
Third trimester	0.76 (0.51-1.19)	NA
Average across first and third trimester <sup>d</sup>	0.75 (0.52-1.11)	NA
First trimester blood lead level, median (IQR), µg/dL <sup>e</sup>	0.36 (0.26-0.60)	NA

Abbreviations: MUF<sub>SG</sub>, maternal urinary fluoride adjusted for specific gravity; NA, not applicable.

SI Conversions: To convert fluoride to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.05263; lead to micromoles per liter, multiply by 0.0483.

<sup>a</sup> Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

<sup>b</sup> Based on 222 participants due to missing data for this variable.


<sup>c</sup> Based on 155 participants.

<sup>d</sup> Based on 154 participants.

<sup>e</sup> Based on 123 participants.

findings became significant associations in models for both the first and third trimester (eTables 7-9 in Supplement 1). Associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL scores in the third trimester remained generally the same when examined among only the sample of women who fasted for at least 8 hours (192 participants) and when adjusting for maternal smoking during pregnancy (eTables 10-13 in

Figure. Distributions of T Scores for the Preschool Child Behavior Checklist Index Scales Among Children in the Maternal and Developmental Risks From Environmental and Social Stressors Cohort at Age 3 Years



The blue histogram bars depict the frequency of T scores among participants per Child Behavior Checklist index scale including the internalizing problems scale (A), externalizing problems scale (B), and total problems scale (C). Frequencies and percentages are based on a total of 229 participants.

Table 2. Associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> in the Third Trimester With CBCL Composite T Scores and Clinical Composite Index Variable Category<sup>a</sup>

CBCL composite scale <sup>b</sup>	Composite T scores		Clinical index variable scores	
	B (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	P value
Total Problems	2.14 (0.29 to 3.98)	.02 <sup>d</sup>	1.83 (1.17 to 2.86)	.008 <sup>d</sup>
Internalizing Problems	2.29 (0.47 to 4.11)	.01 <sup>d</sup>	1.48 (0.96 to 2.30)	.08
Externalizing Problems	1.45 (−0.27 to 3.17)	.10	1.38 (0.83 to 2.28)	.21

Abbreviations: CBCL, Preschool Child Behavior Checklist; MUF<sub>SG</sub>, maternal urinary fluoride adjusted for specific gravity; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup> Calculations are based on a total of 229 participants. B values and ORs are presented according to an IQR (ie, 0.68 mg/L [to convert to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.05263]) increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub>. Analyses were adjusted for maternal age, prepregnancy body mass index, ethnicity by nativity, maternal education, household income, maternal cohabitation, and child sex.

<sup>b</sup> For clinical composite index scores, normal = 0 and borderline clinical or clinical = 1. Higher CBCL scores indicate more symptoms of neurobehavioral problems.

<sup>c</sup> ORs reflect the odds of having a score in the borderline clinical or clinical range compared with the normal range.

<sup>d</sup> Denotes statistical significance.



Supplement 1). Lastly, magnitudes of associations of mean MUF<sub>SG</sub> across the first and third trimesters with CBCL scores were larger than associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> in only the third trimester with CBCL scores (eTable 14 and eTable 15 in Supplement 1).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first US-based cohort study to examine associations of prenatal fluoride exposure with child neurobehavior. The study sample resided in a predominately fluoridated region and had fluoride exposures that are typical of those living in fluoridated communities in North America.<sup>17,25,26</sup> For example, Till et al<sup>25</sup> reported a median MUF<sub>SG</sub> of 0.77 mg/L among women living in fluoridated communities in Canada. We found that women with higher fluoride exposure during pregnancy tended to rate their children higher on overall neurobehavioral problems and internalizing symptoms, including emotional reactivity, anxiety, and somatic complaints by age 3 years. Furthermore, each 0.68 mg/L increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with nearly double the odds of total neurobehavioral problems being in the borderline clinical or clinical range. Women with higher MUF<sub>SG</sub> during pregnancy also tended to rate their children higher on Autism Spectrum Disorder symptoms. The effect sizes observed in this study are sizable considering the relatively low urinary fluoride levels of participants.

Findings from this study are consistent with a recent Canadian study<sup>13</sup> of over 600 maternal-child pairs in the Calgary cohort of the Alberta Pregnancy Outcomes and Nutrition study. The study found that exposure to drinking water fluoridated at 0.7 mg/L throughout pregnancy was associated with symptoms of executive dysfunction, including poorer inhibitory control, and decreased cognitive flexibility among children aged 3 to 5 years. However, associations were most pronounced among girls.<sup>13</sup> Although we did not observe sex-specific associations in the current study, higher MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with higher symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder and anxiety, which are also associated with poorer cognitive flexibility.<sup>27-29</sup> Another recent study<sup>12</sup> conducted in the Early Life Exposures in Mexico to Environmental Toxicants (ELEMENT) cohort found that higher creatinine-adjusted MUF was associated with higher scores on measures of inattention and overall ADHD symptoms from ages 6 to 12 years. While we did not find associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with symptoms of inattention or ADHD, this may reflect the timing of neurobehavioral assessment because symptoms of inattention are more difficult to assess (and ADHD is more difficult to diagnose) in children younger than 4 years. Although no other prospective studies, to our

Table 3. Associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> in the Third Trimester With Raw Scale Scores<sup>a</sup>

Scale	B (95% CI)	P value	Increase in CBCL score per IQR increase in MUF <sub>SG</sub> , %
Natural log transformed			
CBCL syndrome scale			
Emotionally Reactive	0.13 (0.02 to 0.24)	.02 <sup>b</sup>	13.54
Anxious-Depressed	0.08 (−0.03 to 0.19)	.15	8.22
Somatic Complaints	0.18 (0.07 to 0.28)	.001 <sup>b</sup>	19.60
Withdrawn	0.09 (−0.03 to 0.21)	.14	9.20
Sleep Problems	0.07 (−0.05 to 0.18)	.25	6.82
Attention Problems	0.06 (−0.05 to 0.16)	.28	5.76
Aggressive Behavior	0.10 (−0.03 to 0.24)	.14	10.96
CBCL DSM-5–oriented scale			
Depressive Problems	0.09 (−0.02 to 0.20)	.10	9.53
Anxiety Problems	0.11 (0.003 to 0.21)	.045 <sup>b</sup>	11.29
Oppositional Defiant Problems	0.08 (−0.04 to 0.19)	.20	8.00
Autism Spectrum Problems	0.17 (0.04 to 0.30)	.009 <sup>b</sup>	18.53
Not natural log transformed, DSM-5-oriented scale: ADHD Problems	0.41 (−0.07 to 0.88)	.10	Point increase, 0.41

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; CBCL, Preschool Child Behavior Checklist; DSM-5, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fifth Edition); MUF<sub>SG</sub>, maternal urinary fluoride adjusted for specific gravity.

<sup>a</sup> Calculations are based on a total of 229 participants. B coefficients are presented according to an IQR (ie, 0.68 mg/L [to convert to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.05263]) increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub>. CBCL scales were natural log-transformed plus a constant of 1 to satisfy assumptions of linear regression, except for the DSM-5 ADHD problems scale because linear regression assumptions were satisfied for that model. Analyses were adjusted for maternal age, prepregnancy body mass index, ethnicity by nativity, maternal education, household income, maternal cohabitation, and child sex. Higher CBCL scores indicate more symptoms of neurobehavioral problems.

<sup>b</sup> Denotes statistical significance.

knowledge, have examined associations of prenatal fluoride exposure with CBCL scores, a recent cross-sectional study<sup>30</sup> of 12-year-old children in the Cincinnati Childhood Allergy and Air Pollution Study found that higher specific gravity-adjusted urinary fluoride levels were associated with higher somatic symptoms scores and odds of internalizing T scores being in a clinically at-risk range (defined as a T score  $\geq 60$ ) on the Behavior Assessment System for Children (Second Edition), particularly among boys. Still, an earlier study of 7- to 11-year-old children residing in Boston<sup>31</sup> found no association of dental fluorosis or environmental fluoride exposure (assessed via questionnaire) with parent-reported neurobehavioral problems on the CBCL.

Other studies conducted in Canada and Mexico have found associations of higher prenatal fluoride exposure at US-population-relevant levels with poorer neurocognitive outcomes, including lower IQ.<sup>8-10,12,14,21</sup> For example, a study conducted in the ELEMENT cohort found that each 0.5 mg/L increase in creatinine-adjusted MUF was associated with a more than 2-point reduction in global cognitive functioning or IQ across 3 time points during middle to late childhood.<sup>21</sup> Similarly, research conducted in the Canadian Maternal-Infant Research on Environmental Chemicals cohort found that each 1 mg/L increase in MUF<sub>SG</sub> was associated with a 4.49-point lower IQ score in boys.<sup>8,10</sup> Taken together, the weight of the scientific literature supports an association of prenatal fluoride exposure with adverse child cognitive and neurobehavioral development in North America. Still, when considering the global body of scientific literature, there are some inconsistencies.<sup>32-34</sup>

It is well-established that the prenatal and early postnatal periods are windows of susceptibility for neurodevelopmental impacts of environmental toxicant exposures.<sup>35,36</sup> Animal studies have delineated potential mechanisms underlying the association of prenatal fluoride exposure with neurobehavioral development. A 2022 study<sup>37</sup> found that at 90 days of age, male rats who were prenatally and perinatally exposed to relatively low fluoride levels exhibited altered neurobiochemical markers of oxidative damage, glutamate metabolism, and acetylcholinesterase activity. Another recent study<sup>38</sup> found that at 90 days of age, female rats exposed to low fluoride levels during gestation and lactation exhibited decreased messenger RNA expression of the  $\alpha 7$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptor ( $\alpha 7$ nAChR) and reduced hippocampal catalase activity (an indicator of oxidative stress). Neurochemical changes observed in both studies<sup>37,38</sup> have been replicated in other animal as well as in vitro studies that included high fluoride exposures.<sup>39-41</sup> Interestingly, both oxidative stress and alterations of the  $\alpha 7$ nAChR in particular have been implicated in the pathophysiology of neurodevelopmental disorders, including Autism Spectrum Disorder.<sup>42,43</sup> Furthermore, alterations in glutamate pathways have been implicated in the cause and treatment of anxiety disorders.<sup>44</sup> Prenatal fluoride exposure may also adversely affect neurodevelopment and cognition by causing mitochondrial dysfunction which can increase oxidative stress, blocking autophagosome-lysosome fusion which can contribute to cellular damage, and by causing synaptic dysfunction.<sup>45-47</sup> Additionally, prenatal fluoride exposure, even at low levels, can suppress maternal thyroid gland activity which can contribute to cognitive and neurobehavioral problems in offspring.<sup>48,49</sup>

## Strengths and Limitations

There are notable strengths of the current study, including the use of individual biomarker measures of exposure assessment that provide an estimate of fluoride intake from all sources, and the adjustment for a breadth of covariates associated with fluoride exposure, metabolism, and neurodevelopment. Additionally, our study addressed a limitation of prior studies on fluoride exposure and neurodevelopment by including a sample of predominately fasting pregnant women, which can be difficult to achieve. However, there are also limitations. First, we measured fluoride in spot samples rather than 24-hour urine samples, which can be influenced by daily behaviors (eg, food and beverage consumption or use of fluoridated dental products), and therefore increase random error. Still, the inclusion of mostly fasting urine samples reduces the potential impact of food and beverage consumption on urinary fluoride concentrations. Second, we were limited in our ability to examine patterns of associations of fluoride exposure with neurobehavior according to trimester



because only a subsample of participants had urine available for fluoride analyses in the first trimester and most participants did not fast prior to urine collection. Nevertheless, associations of first trimester MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL scores after adjusting for blood lead were in the same direction as for the third trimester. Third, we did not have data on tap water consumption habits for the study sample; however, home cooking rates were high, and rice tended to be a dietary staple among MADRES participants, which can be a source of tap water fluoride exposure. Fourth, given that the study sample resided in Los Angeles, California, and was predominately Hispanic, we do not know whether findings observed in this study are generalizable to other US populations or are nationally representative. Fifth, this study excluded participants who delivered their babies prior to 30 weeks' gestation which precluded examination of associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with neurobehavior among children who were born very preterm. Sixth, lead concentrations in whole blood were only measured for most of the study sample during the first trimester, and therefore we were only able to adjust for first trimester blood lead in our third trimester analyses. Still, we do not anticipate confounding of associations of MUF<sub>SG</sub> with CBCL scores by blood lead given that the inclusion of first trimester blood lead in first and third trimester models increased the magnitude of the associations. Furthermore, blood lead has been shown to be stable between the first and third trimesters of pregnancy,<sup>50</sup> which supports the use of first trimester blood lead as a proxy for third trimester blood lead.

---

## Conclusions

This cohort study found that prenatal fluoride exposure was associated with increased risk for neurobehavioral problems among children residing in the US. These findings suggest that there may be a need to establish recommendations for limiting exposure to fluoride from all sources during the prenatal period, a time when the developing brain is known to be especially vulnerable to injury from environmental insults.

---

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

**Accepted for Publication:** March 18, 2024.

**Published:** May 20, 2024. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.11987

**Correction:** This article was corrected on June 28, 2024, to fix errors in the Figure.

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2024 Malin AJ et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

**Corresponding Author:** Ashley J. Malin, PhD, Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, 2004 Mowry Rd, Gainesville, FL 32603 ([ashleymalin@ufl.edu](mailto:ashleymalin@ufl.edu)).

**Author Affiliations:** Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health and Health Professions University of Florida, Gainesville (Malin); College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville (Malin); Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine of University of Southern California, Los Angeles (Eckel, Hu, Hernandez-Castro, Yang, Farzan, Habre, Breton, Bastain); Department of Dental Public Health and Dental Informatics, School of Dentistry, Indiana University, Indianapolis (Martinez-Mier).

**Author Contributions:** Dr Malin and Ms Yang had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

*Concept and design:* Malin, Hu, Bastain.

*Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data:* All authors.

*Drafting of the manuscript:* Malin, Eckel, Hu, Martinez-Mier, Bastain.

*Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* All authors.

*Statistical analysis:* Malin, Eckel, Hu, Hernandez-Castro, Yang.

*Obtained funding:* Malin, Farzan, Habre, Breton, Bastain.

*Administrative, technical, or material support:* Martinez-Mier, Farzan, Habre, Bastain.

*Supervision:* Hu, Martinez-Mier, Farzan, Habre, Bastain.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Hu reported having testified as a nonretained expert witness at the request of the US Department of Justice on his previously published research on the subject of prenatal fluoride exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes as part of an ongoing trial in US District Court. Dr Farzan reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

**Funding/Support:** This work was supported in part by funding from the National Institutes of Health and National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (grant Nos. R00ES031676, ES030400, P50MD015705, P50ES026086, and R01ES021446) and the US Environmental Protection Agency (grant No. 83615801-0).

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Data Sharing Statement:** See [Supplement 2](#).

**Additional Contributions:** The authors thank the Maternal and Developmental Risks from Environmental and Social Stressors (MADRES) participants, the study staff, and community clinic partners for making this work possible. The authors also thank Durdana Khan, MPH, PhD (Department of Epidemiology, University of Florida), for conducting an additional reanalysis of the data included in this study. No additional compensation was provided to Dr Khan for this task.

## REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Timeline for community water fluoridation. Updated April 28, 2021. Accessed June 21, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/basics/timeline.html#:~:text=1945%20Fluoridation%20trial%3A%20A%20planned%2015-year%20trial%20of,source%20similar%20to%20that%20of%20the%20trial%20city>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Federal Panel on Community Water Fluoridation. U.S. public health service recommendation for fluoride concentration in drinking water for the prevention of dental caries. *Public Health Rep.* 2015;130(4):318-331. doi:10.1177/003335491513000408
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Community water fluoridation: 2018 fluoridation statistics. Updated July 7, 2023. Accessed April 9, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/statistics/2018stats.htm>
- Cabezas M, Obara A. Los Angeles County, status of community water fluoridation, oral health program 2019. Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. 2019. Accessed April 9, 2024. <http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ohp/docs/Water%20Fluoridation%202019%20with%20Key.pdf>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Shortages of fluoridation additives. Accessed June 21, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/engineering/engineering-shortages.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Water Fluoridation Additives. Updated March 15, 2015. Accessed June 21, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/engineering/wfadditives.htm#types>
- National Research Council of the National Academies. Fluoride in drinking water: a scientific review of EPA's standards. 2006. Accessed April 9, 2024. <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/11571/fluoride-in-drinking-water-a-scientific-review-of-epas-standards>
- Farmus L, Till C, Green R, et al. Critical windows of fluoride neurotoxicity in Canadian children. *Environ Res.* 2021;200:111315. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.111315
- Bashash M, Thomas D, Hu H, et al. Prenatal fluoride exposure and cognitive outcomes in children at 4 and 6-12 years of age in Mexico. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2017;125(9):097017. doi:10.1289/EHP655
- Green R, Lanphear B, Hornung R, et al. Association between maternal fluoride exposure during pregnancy and IQ scores in offspring in Canada. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2019;173(10):940-948. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.1729
- Veneri F, Vinceti M, Generali L, et al. Fluoride exposure and cognitive neurodevelopment: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Environ Res.* 2023;221:115239. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2023.115239
- Bashash M, Marchand M, Hu H, et al. Prenatal fluoride exposure and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in children at 6-12 years of age in Mexico City. *Environ Int.* 2018;121(Pt 1):658-666. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2018.09.017
- Dewey D, England-Mason G, Ntanda H, et al; APrON Study Team. Fluoride exposure during pregnancy from a community water supply is associated with executive function in preschool children: a prospective ecological cohort study. *Sci Total Environ.* 2023;891:164322. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164322
- Cantor A, Téllez-Rojo MM, Malin AJ, et al. Dietary fluoride intake during pregnancy and neurodevelopment in toddlers: a prospective study in the progress cohort. *Neurotoxicology.* 2021;87:86-93. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2021.08.015

15. National Toxicology Program. US Department of Health and Human Services. NTP Board of Scientific Counselors Working Group report on the draft state of the science monograph and the draft meta-analysis on fluoride. National Institutes of Health. April 2023. Accessed April 22, 2024. <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/wgrptBSC20230400.pdf>
16. Bastain TM, Chavez T, Habre R, et al. Study design, protocol and profile of the Maternal and Developmental Risks from Environmental and Social Stressors (MADRES) Pregnancy Cohort: a prospective cohort study in predominantly low-income Hispanic women in urban Los Angeles. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):189. doi:10.1186/s12884-019-2330-7
17. Thomas DB, Basu N, Martinez-Mier EA, et al. Urinary and plasma fluoride levels in pregnant women from Mexico City. *Environ Res*. 2016;150:489-495. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2016.06.046
18. Martínez-Mier EA, Cury JA, Heilman JR, et al. Development of gold standard ion-selective electrode-based methods for fluoride analysis. *Caries Res*. 2011;45(1):3-12. doi:10.1159/000321657
19. Taves DR. Separation of fluoride by rapid diffusion using hexamethyldisiloxane. *Talanta*. 1968;15(9):969-974. doi:10.1016/0039-9140(68)80097-9
20. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services). Toxicological profile for fluorides, Hydrogen fluoride, and fluorine. September 2003. Accessed April 9, 2024. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp11.pdf>
21. Goodman CV, Bashash M, Green R, et al. Domain-specific effects of prenatal fluoride exposure on child IQ at 4, 5, and 6-12 years in the ELEMENT cohort. *Environ Res*. 2022;211:112993. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2022.112993
22. Kim JY, Ha EH. Cluster analysis of the Child Behavior Checklist 1.5-5 for Preschool children diagnosed with a mental disorder. *Psychol Rep*. 2020;123(4):1403-1424. doi:10.1177/0033294119844980
23. Neo WS, Suzuki T, Kelleher BL. Structural validity of the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for preschoolers with neurogenetic syndromes. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2021;109:103834. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103834
24. Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA Preschool Forms & Profiles*. ASEBA; 2000.
25. Till C, Green R, Grundy JG, et al. Community water fluoridation and urinary fluoride concentrations in a national sample of pregnant women in Canada. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2018;126(10):107001. doi:10.1289/EHP3546
26. Abduweli Uyghurturk D, Goin DE, Martinez-Mier EA, Woodruff TJ, DenBesten PK. Maternal and fetal exposures to fluoride during mid-gestation among pregnant women in northern California. *Environ Health*. 2020;19(1):38. doi:10.1186/s12940-020-00581-2
27. Lawson RA, Papadakis AA, Higginson CI, et al. Everyday executive function impairments predict comorbid psychopathology in autism spectrum and attention deficit hyperactivity disorders. *Neuropsychology*. 2015;29(3):445-453. doi:10.1037/neu0000145
28. Andreou M, Konstantopoulos K, Peristeri E. Cognitive flexibility in autism: evidence from young autistic children. *Autism Res*. 2022;15(12):2296-2309. doi:10.1002/aur.2828
29. Park J, Moghaddam B. Impact of anxiety on prefrontal cortex encoding of cognitive flexibility. *Neuroscience*. 2017;345:193-202. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.06.013
30. Adkins EA, Yoltin K, Strawn JR, Lippert F, Ryan PH, Brunst KJ. Fluoride exposure during early adolescence and its association with internalizing symptoms. *Environ Res*. 2022;204(Pt C):112296. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112296
31. Morgan L, Allred E, Tavares M, Bellinger D, Needleman H. Investigation of the possible associations between fluorosis, fluoride exposure, and childhood behavior problems. *Pediatr Dent*. 1998;20(4):244-252.
32. Ibarluzea J, Gallastegi M, Santa-Marina L, et al. Prenatal exposure to fluoride and neuropsychological development in early childhood: 1-to 4 years old children. *Environ Res*. 2022;207:112181. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112181
33. Ibarluzea J, Subiza-Pérez M, Arregi A, et al. Association of maternal prenatal urinary fluoride levels with ADHD symptoms in childhood. *Environ Res*. 2023;235:116705. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2023.116705
34. Grandjean P, Meddis A, Nielsen F, et al. Dose dependence of prenatal fluoride exposure associations with cognitive performance at school age in three prospective studies. *Eur J Public Health*. 2024;34(1):143-149. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckad170
35. Kalkbrenner AE, Schmidt RJ, Penlesky AC. Environmental chemical exposures and autism spectrum disorders: a review of the epidemiological evidence. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*. 2014;44(10):277-318. doi:10.1016/j.cppeds.2014.06.001

36. Braun JM, Kahn RS, Froehlich T, Auinger P, Lanphear BP. Exposures to environmental toxicants and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in U.S. children. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2006;114(12):1904-1909. doi:10.1289/ehp.9478
37. Bartos M, Gumilar F, Baier CJ, et al. Rat developmental fluoride exposure affects retention memory, leads to a depressive-like behavior, and induces biochemical changes in offspring rat brains. *Neurotoxicology*. 2022;93:222-232. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2022.10.006
38. Bartos M, Gumilar F, Gallegos CE, et al. Alterations in the memory of rat offspring exposed to low levels of fluoride during gestation and lactation: involvement of the  $\alpha 7$  nicotinic receptor and oxidative stress. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2018;81:108-114. doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2018.07.078
39. Sun Z, Zhang Y, Xue X, Niu R, Wang J. Maternal fluoride exposure during gestation and lactation decreased learning and memory ability, and glutamate receptor mRNA expressions of mouse pups. *Hum Exp Toxicol*. 2018;37(1):87-93. doi:10.1177/0960327117693067
40. Chen J, Shan KR, Long YG, Wang YN, Nordberg A, Guan ZZ. Selective decreases of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in PC12 cells exposed to fluoride. *Toxicology*. 2003;183(1-3):235-242. doi:10.1016/S0300-483X(02)00551-6
41. Long YG, Wang YN, Chen J, Jiang SF, Nordberg A, Guan ZZ. Chronic fluoride toxicity decreases the number of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in rat brain. *Neurotoxicol Teratol*. 2002;24(6):751-757. doi:10.1016/S0892-0362(02)00273-8
42. Deutsch SI, Burket JA, Urbano MR, Benson AD. The  $\alpha 7$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptor: a mediator of pathogenesis and therapeutic target in autism spectrum disorders and Down syndrome. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2015;97(4):363-377. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2015.06.005
43. Björklund G, Meguid NA, El-Bana MA, et al. Oxidative stress in autism spectrum disorder. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2020;57(5):2314-2332. doi:10.1007/s12035-019-01742-2
44. Cortese BM, Phan KL. The role of glutamate in anxiety and related disorders. *CNS Spectr*. 2005;10(10):820-830. doi:10.1017/S1092852900010427
45. Li W, Lu L, Zhu D, et al. Gestational exposure to fluoride impairs cognition in C57 BL/6 J male offspring mice via the p-Creb1-BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 2022;239:113682. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113682
46. Wang D, Cao L, Pan S, et al. Sirt3-mediated mitochondrial dysfunction is involved in fluoride-induced cognitive deficits. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2021;158:112665. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2021.112665
47. Zhang Y, Han X, Tang Y, et al. Weakened interaction of ATG14 and the SNARE complex blocks autophagosome-lysosome fusion contributes to fluoride-induced developmental neurotoxicity. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 2022;230:113108. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.113108
48. Dhurvey VT, Patil VP, Thakarea M. Effect of sodium fluoride on the structure and function of the thyroid and ovary in albino rats (*Rattus Norvegicus*). *Fluoride*. 2017;50(2):235-246. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:13742394>
49. Hall M, Lanphear B, Chevrier J, et al. Fluoride exposure and hypothyroidism in a Canadian pregnancy cohort. *Sci Total Environ*. 2023;869:161149. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161149
50. Rygiel CA, Goodrich JM, Solano-González M, et al. Prenatal lead (Pb) exposure and peripheral blood DNA methylation (5mC) and hydroxymethylation (5hmC) in Mexican adolescents from the ELEMENT birth cohort. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2021;129(6):67002. doi:10.1289/EHP8507

#### SUPPLEMENT 1.

**eFigure 1.** Participant Selection Flowchart for the Current Study Sample

**eMethods.**

**eFigure 2.** Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) for Covariate Selection

**eTable 1.** Maternal Demographics According to Fluoride Sample

**eFigure 3.** Distributions of Raw Scores for CBCL Syndrome Scales Among Children in the MADRES Study at Age 3; n = 229

**eFigure 4.** Distributions of Raw Scores for CBCL DSM-Oriented Scales Among Children in the MADRES Study at Age 3; n = 229

**eTable 2.** CBCL Scores at Age 36-Months in the MADRES Cohort

**eTable 3.** Poisson Regression Estimating the Risk Ratio for Third Trimester MUFsg in Relation to CBCL Clinical Index Scores

**eTable 4.** Sensitivity Analysis Including "Borderline Clinical" with "Non-Clinical" Group as the Reference in Logistic Regression of Trimester 3 MUFsg With CBCL Clinical Index Scores

**eTable 5.** Sensitivity Analysis of Associations of MUFsg in Trimester 1 With CBCL Clinical Index Scores

**eTable 6.** Sensitivity Analysis of Associations of Trimester 1 MUFsg With Composite T-Scores, and Syndrome or DSM Scale Raw CBCL Scores at Age 3

**eTable 7.** Associations of MUFsg in Trimester 1 with CBCL Scores Adjusting for Blood Lead

**eTable 8.** Associations of MUFsg in Trimester 3 With CBCL Scores Adjusting for Trimester 1 Blood Lead

**eTable 9.** Associations of MUFsg in Trimester 3 with CBCL Clinical Index Scores Adjusting for Trimester 1 Blood Lead

**eTable 10.** Associations of MUFsg in Trimester 3 with CBCL Scores Among Women Who Fasted for  $\geq 8$  Hours

**eTable 11.** Trimester 3 MUFsg in Relation to CBCL Clinical Index Scores Among Women who Fasted for  $\geq 8$  Hours

**eTable 12.** Associations of MUFsg in Trimester 3 with CBCL Scores Including Women Who Smoked During Pregnancy

**eTable 13.** Trimester 3 MUFsg in Relation to CBCL Clinical Index Scores Including Women Who Smoked During Pregnancy

**eTable 14.** Associations of Average MUFsg Across Trimesters 1 and 3 With CBCL Scores

**eTable 15.** Average MUFsg Across Trimesters 1 and 3 in Relation to CBCL Clinical Index Scores

## SUPPLEMENT 2.

### Data Sharing Statement